



**SOUTH CAROLINA**  
**Department of Health and Environmental Control**  
**Summary of Slug Test Form**

**Site Data**

UST Permit # \_\_\_\_\_ County: \_\_\_\_\_

Facility Name \_\_\_\_\_

**Slug Data**

See Appendix \_\_\_\_ Table \_\_\_\_ Figure \_\_\_\_ for a list of all data measurements.

(water level logs, etc.) (Complete as appropriate).

Water Level Recovery Data was measured by \_\_\_\_\_ .

(Hermit Data Logger, Manually with Water Level Indicator, etc.) (List Method).

Complete the following table for each well tested.

**COMPLETE A SECOND SHEET IF MORE THAN FOUR WELLS ARE TESTED**

Slug Test Conducted in well(s) number

Initial Rise/Drawdown in well (feet)

Radius of Well Casing (feet)

Effective Radius of Well (feet)

Static Saturated Aquifer Thickness (feet)

Length of Well Screen (feet)

Static Height of Water Column in Well (ft)


**Calculations**

See Appendix \_\_\_\_ Table \_\_\_\_ Figure \_\_\_\_ for calculations. (Complete as appropriate).

The method for aquifer calculations was \_\_\_\_\_

Calculated values by well were as follows:

Slug Test Conducted in well(s) number

Hydraulic Conductivity


Thickness of the aquifer used to calculate hydraulic conductivity was \_\_\_\_\_ feet.

The aquifer is \_\_\_\_\_ confined \_\_\_\_\_ semi-confined \_\_\_\_\_ water table (Check as appropriate).

The estimated seepage velocity is \_\_\_\_\_ feet per year based on  
a hydraulic conductivity of \_\_\_\_\_, a hydraulic gradient of \_\_\_\_\_, and  
a porosity of \_\_\_\_\_ per cent for \_\_\_\_\_ soil (list type i.e., silty sand ,clay, etc).